Thout rot on The Day Lof Me hier is to as port this faure bo for hings a home [ JULY 8. 1745. ]

## INFORMATION for Alexander Chrystie Merchant in Montrose,

AGAINST

John Spence Trustee for Samuel Straiton of London.

N July last Alexander Chrystie Merchant in Montrose obtained Decreet before the Court of Session, against Samuel Straiton Merchant in London, for 281 L. 15 s. 7 d. Sterl. He was at that very Time Creditor to the said Samuel Straiton in a much larger Sum; but, as he was not then possest of these other Articles, he restricted his Demand to the above mentioned Sum of 281 L. 15 s. 7 d. Sterl. and, as Samuel Straiton did not think proper to appear, Decreet went in Absence.

Letters of Horning being raised upon this Decreet, Arrestments were used in the Hands of certain Persons here in Scotland, supposed to be Debitors to the said Samuel Straiton, and a Process of Forthcoming was thereupon brought, which now depends

before the Lord Elchies Ordinary.

In order to prevent the Effect of these Arrestments, Samuel Straiton drew Bills upon these his Debitors, ordering them, within a sew Days after Sight, to pay to the fore-said John Spence the several Sums in which they stood Debitors to him; and a Process of Multiple-Poinding being by them raised, which of confidence was remitted to the Process of Forthcoming, Compearance was made for the said Alexander Chrystie, who claimed to be preserved upon the Title of the sovered Ameliana, and so the said John Spence, as Possession of the foresaid Bills, who, as Assigny thereby constitute to the several Sums in the Hands of Samuel Straiton's Debitors, pled to be preserved, notwithstanding he acknowledged he was but a Name or Trustee for Samuel Straiton himself.

standing he acknowledged he was but a Name or Trustee for Samuel Straiton himself. The Competition therefore is not betwixt two of Samuel Straiton's onerous Creditors, struggling to recover their Payment by virtue of the Priority of their Rights or legal Diligences, but betwixt Samuel Straiton himself and the foresaid Alexander Chrystie, a most onerous Creditor, who has been treated in a cruel and treacherous Manner by Straiton the Bankrupt; in so much that, was the Point in Issue, Whether the said Samuel Straiton should be intitled to the Benefit of a Ceffio bonorum, instead of the Question that now occurs, touching the Effect of a Statute of Bankrupcy in England, it is humbly thought there are fuch pregnant Qualifications of a fraudulent Bankrupcy, and of a designed Fraud against the said Alexander Chrystie, as would be a sufficient Bar. And, to satisfy your Lordships that this is not a random Allegation, it shall suffice to observe, that recently before the Bankrupcy broke out, and whilst he was in meditatione to take the Benefit of the Statute of Bankrupcy, he commissioned the said Alexander Chrystie to purchase for their joint Behoof, upon the Plan of their former Correspondence, a large Parcel of Goods to be sent up to London, there to be disposed of by him for their joint Account; no fooner did the Bill of Loading come into his Hands, by Course of the Post, than he raised a Sum of Money thereupon, by indorsing away the Bill of Loading before the Goods had come to Hand, and which did not arrive at London till after the Statute of Bankrupcy was awarded against him; by Means whereof, as the Indorsee to the Bill of Loading recovered the Goods, Chrystie is not only cut out of his own Moiety of these Goods, but has also been obliged to pay Straiton's Half of the Prime Cost, and thus remains Creditor to Straiton in no less than the Sum of 65 L. Sterling, besides all the Damages and Expences thereby incurred, over and above the Sums contained in the forefaid Decreet; and, when Mr. Chrystie complained to Mr. Straiton of this Treatment, all the Satisfaction he could obtain, was, That it was out of his Power to deliver up the Bills of Loading.

Chrystie's Arrestments are of Date prior to these Bills which were drawn by Samuel Straiton, or to the Intimation thereof by Spence; so that, in a Competition betwixt these two, even the Spence were an onerous Assign, there is no Question but Chrystie

would be preferable.

What gives Rise to the present Question, is, That a Commission of Bankrupcy having been issued against the said Samuel Straiton, and he having conformed to the Directions of the Statutes in that Behalf made, by seemingly surrendring his Effects, and submitting to be examined by the Commissioners of Bankrupcy, a Certificate was iffued by the Lord Chancellor of England, in virtue whereof the said Samuel Straiton was no doubt intitled to all the Privileges and Benefits that such Bankrupt is intitled to by the Laws and Statutes of England; and Mr. Chrystie will fairly admit, that one of the Privileges to which a Bankrupt conforming himself to the Statutes in that Behalf made stands intitled by the Law of England, is, that the Bankrupt is thereby discharged of all Debts contracted before the Bankrupcy, where either the Creditors or the Debts themselves can be regulated by the Law of England.

It is also an agreed Point, that the Debt now claimed by Mr. Chrystie, as contained in the foresaid Decreet, and upon which the Arrestments are used, was contracted before this Commission of Bankrupcy was awarded; and from thence the Question does arise, Whether the Statutes of Bankrupcy in England are to have their full Effect over all the known World; Whether Samuel Straiton's Creditors residing in foreign Countries were bound to enter their Claims, and to prove their Debts before the Commissioners of Bankrupcy in England; and, in Default of such Claim, whether these Debts are so effectually discharged, as that they cannot be a Ground of Action in any other Country to which the said Samuel Straiton may be subjected and bound to an-

And as this is a Question juris gentium, it must appear to your Lordships to be a Point of great Importance to the Subjects in this Country. Every Mortal knows what Frauds are daily committed, under the Colour of those Commissions of Bankrupcy, in England. How easy it is for the Bankrupt to secrete his Effects, so that they cannot come to the Knowledge of Creditors in Scotland, and dispersed in foreign Countries. The Time limited, by the Statutes of Bankrupcy in England, for the Creditors to compear and prove their Debts, is extremely short; and therefore, whatever may be the Effect of the Law of England, with regard to Creditors subject to the Laws of England, or to the Chancellor of England's Jurisdiction, by whose Authority these Commissions of Bankrupcy are iffued, it is not easy to conceive upon what Principle of Law it can be maintained, that these Commissions of Bankrupcy shall have their full Effect against Creditors reliding in foreign Parts, or profecuting legal Diligences conform to the Laws of other Countries, el where (as in this Case) the Bankrupt is not only a Native of this Country, and thereby subjected to the Laws of Scotland, ratione originis, but the Debt itself actually contracted in Scotland, by Commissions which Chrystie received to purchase Goods, from Time to Time, partly for Straiton's Behoof, or by accepting Bills which Straiton drew upon him, to support his Credit, when at the same Time he had none of Straiton's Effects in his Hands.

Was Mr. Chrystie to claim the Benefit of the Commission of Bankrupcy in England, and, in consequence thereof, to draw a Proportion of the Bankrupt's Effects, it behoved him undoubtedly to submit to the Law of England, and, in consequence thereof, to release the Debt as to all other Intents and Purposes, beyond what Proportion he might thereby be intitled to draw of the Bankrupt's Effects, at the Time when the Commission of Bankrupcy was awarded: But, where that is not the Case, as Mr. Chrystie neither claims nor takes any Benefit from the Commission of Bankrupcy in England; as he neither demands nor draws any Dividend of the Bankrupt's Effects, as discovered or surrendred to the Commissioners of Bankrupcy in England, he cannot, with all due Submission, apprehend how either the Commission of Dankrupcy, as tounded upon the Lord Chancellor's Jurisdiction, or the Statutes of England, can reach him, a Native of Scotland, residing in foreign Parts, prosecuting Diligence conform to the Laws of the Land where he resides, where he finds his Debitor's Effects, and to which the Bankrupt is bound to answer, if the Statute of Bankrupcy does not stand in the

Way.

The Statutes of Bankrupcy in England can have no stronger Essect in Scotland, or other foreign Countries, than the Laws of Scotland would be intitled to in England. If a Bankrupt in Scotland should apply for, and obtain the Benefit of a Cessio bonorum, which protects the Person, but not the Essects of the Bankrupt, tho afterwards acquired, it is with Reason believed, that the English Creditors, finding the Person of their Debitor in England, would neither scruple, nor think themselves bound up by the Laws of Scotland, from arresting the Bankrupt's Person: And, if that is so, how can the Statutes of Bankrupcy in England, or Commissions of Bankrupcy awarded in consequence thereof, prejudge the Diligence of Creditors in Scotland?

Laws derive their Force from the Authority of the Legislator, and therefore cannot be extended outwith the Limits of that Jurisdiction from the Authority of which they proceed. If a Creditor, wherever residing, voluntarily discharges a Debt, that Discharge, by the Laws of Nations, must be effectual all the World over; but, where such Release does not proceed from the Act of the Creditor, but from the peculiar Constitution and statutory Law of any particular Country, it can have no Effect in sorieign Countries, but in as far as the Creditor is subject to the Laws of that Country

where fuch Statute was enacted.

It is therefore most improperly said, That a Certificate of this Kind, issued by the Lord Chancellor upon a Commission of Bankrupcy, has in every Respect an equal Force and Essect with an Acquital or Discharge of the Bankrupt's Debts. It may so far be essectual, that Creditors subject to the Laws of England are thereby restricted to accept of such a Share in the Dividend of the Bankrupt's Essects in solutum of their whole Demands, or the Bankrupt may be said to be surnished with an Exception, whereby his Person and suture Acquisitions are exceeded from being farther attachable for Payment of Debts contracted before the Surrender. But, as these plainly depend

1 3 1

upon the Authority of those Statutes which communicate such Privileges, they may have their Effect within the Country where such Law obtains, but can never preponderate the Laws of any foreign Country. If Conceits of this Kind were to be gone into, it would not be an easy Matter to say by what System of Law the Subjects of Scotland were to be ruled.

As this Objection could not therefore be maintained upon any fixed and established Principle of Law, a Go-by was attempted, under Pretence that the Debt due to Alexander Chrystie was truly contracted in England: So that however Mr. Chrystie the Creditor was himself a Foreigner, residing in Scotland, the locus contractus behaved to be the governing Rule in all Questions of this Kind; that Payment sell to have been made at London; that if Mr. Chrystie had been to bring an Action against Straiton for Payment, such Action must have been brought in England; and therefore that the Law of England must be an effectual Discharge of the Debt, in whatever Part of the World

any fuch Action should be brought.

Mr. Chrystie cannot agree to any one of those Propositions, either in Point of Law or Fact. He insists, in Point of Fact, that the Debt was contracted in Scotland, and consequently that the Payment ought to have been made in Scotland; that Samuel Straiton was a Native of this Country, and of Consequence subject to the Jurisdiction of the Courts here where his Effects were found. And, to satisfy your Lordships that such truly is the Fact, Mr. Chrystie shall only observe, as appears from the Documents produced in Process, in obedience to the Lord Ordinary's Appointment, that this Sum of 281 L. 155.7 d. Sterl. is the Balance of the Accompt current betwixt him and the said Samuel Straiton, in consequence of the Correspondence betwixt them, and which

Correspondence was settled upon the following Plan.

Samuel Straiton commissioned, from Time to Time, large Parcels of Linen and Yarn from this Country, to be disposed of at London, on his and Mr. Chrystie's joint Accompt, and as Mr. Chrystie was employed to purchase in this Country the Goods so commissioned, he thereby became Creditor upon each Commission for Straiton's Half of the prime Cost of these Goods, and in so far it cannot be disputed that this Debt was contracted in Scotland. He also allowed Mr. Straiton to draw sundry Bills upon him for considerable Sums, payable to different Persons in this Country; so that Mr. Chrystie's Ground of Credit, consisted chiesly of the following three Articles: The prime Cost of his Half of the Goods purchased here in Scotland, and Expences relative thereto. 2dly. The Bills drawn by Straiton upon him payable here in Scotland, when he had none of Straiton's Effects in his Hands. And, 3dly. the Proceeds of Mr. Chrystie's Half of these Goods sent to London, in so far as he was not reimbursed of these by Draughts or Remittances.

Upon the 7th of July 1743. an Accompt current was made out and docqueted by the said Samuel Straiton, whereby there was an acknowledged Balance due to Mr.

Chrystie of 322 L. 12 s. 2 d. Sterling.

By another Accompt, May 10. 1744. docqueted by the faid Samuel Straiton, there was still an acknowledged Balance of 273 L. 11 s. 2 d. and in this Accompt current Samuel Straiton debited himself, inter alia, with the following Articles.

And as all these are over and above a farther Sum of 169 L. 8 s. 6 d. as the Balance of last Accompt, your Lordships will perceive that Mr. Christie had advanced of his own Money here in Scotland, in purchasing Sumuel Straiton's Half of the Goods commissioned, no less than 260 L. Sterling, besides his having accepted and paid Samuel Straiton's Bill upon him to David Graham for 105 L. when he had not one Farthing of Samuel Straiton's Effects in his Hands, but was considerably in Advance. If these were not Debts properly contracted in Scotland, for which Samuel Straiton might be sued, or his

Effects attached in this Country, is humbly submitted.

The acknowledged Balance due to Mr. Chrystie, by this last mentioned current Accompt, docqueted by Samuel Straiton upon the 10th May 1744. confisting, inter alia, of the Particulars above mentioned, is no less than 278 L. 11 s. 3 d. Sterling, and which, by the Addition of a few other Arricles for small Sums, was brought up to the 281 L. 15 s. 7 d. It is for this last mentioned Sum that Decreet was obtained; and, without repeating what is already said, it is submitted to your Lordships, if there is any Thing in the Nature of these Debts, whereby they should be considered as Debts contracted in England, or to be regulated by the Laws of England touching the Essets of a Statute of Bankrupcy.

The control of whicher and set of the control of th representation of the second s Chester and the second The second is a second of the MARK LOCALIAN